

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.isplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,985	01/16/2004	Raymond F. Schinazi	EMU133 CON 5 18085.105302	8318
Sherry M. Know	7590 04/10/200 wles	EXAMINER		
45th Floor			CRANE, LAWRENCE E	
191 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1623	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/759,985	SCHINAZI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	- Art Unit	
	L. E. Crane	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ate, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status		,	,
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ The 31 ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	•	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 12 and 14-33 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12 and 14-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	• =		• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A fority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stac	ge
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/759,985

Art Unit: 1623

No additional claims have been cancelled, claim 33 has been amended, the disclosure has been amended at page 28, and no additional new claims have been added as per the amendment filed July 31, 2006. No additional Information Disclosure Statements (IDSs) filed has been received with copies of all newly cited references and made of record.

Note to applicant: Because of the failure to date of the PTO to copy paper files of parent cases, applicant is advised that one way the vast majority of reference citations will be made of record in the scanned document database for this application would be for applicant to submit copies of same for scanning.

Claims 12 and 14-33 remain in the case.

Claims 12 and 14-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 12 at line 3 the term "an HIV related opportunistic infectious disease" is generic and is not accompanied in the instant claim by any listing of all such infections which are intended to be treated, thereby rendering the instant claim incompletely defined. See also claim 16 wherein the same term also appears.

Applicant's arguments filed July 31, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that one of ordinary skill would know the identity of all HIV-related opportunistic infections, and therefore that the above term is not indefinite. This presumes that the knowledge of this class of infections is complete and that the instant treatment will be effective against all infections included within the generic class, regardless of whether the disease conditions are presently known, or not known. In light of the limited data provided in support of the instant assertion, examiner has maintained the above rejection.

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA)

Application/Control Number: 10/759,985

Art Unit: 1623

1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornam, 686 F. 2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ 2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R. §1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent or record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 C.F.R. §3.73(b).

Claims 12 and 14-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,680,303 (PTO-892 ref. G). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the method of treatment of HIV and the alleged active ingredients are directed to substantially overlapping subject matter because the instant claims are directed to a method of treating diseases which only occur when HIV has compromised the immune system, a condition which nucleoside analogues are well known to be capable of reversing by suppressing HIV and thereby permitting immune function to be reestablished.

Claim 12 and 14-33 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,391,859 (PTO-892 ref. A). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the method of treatment of HIV and the alleged active ingredients are directed to substantially overlapping subject matter because the instant claims are directed to a method of treating diseases which only occur when HIV has compromised the immune system, a condition which nucleoside analogues are well known to be capable of reversing by suppressing HIV and thereby permitting immune function to be reestablished.

Claim 12 and 14-33 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,232,300 (PTO-892 ref. B). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the method of treatment of HIV and the alleged active ingredients are

Application/Control Number: 10/759,985

Art Unit: 1623

directed to substantially overlapping subject matter because the instant claims are directed to a method of treating diseases which only occur when HIV has compromised the immune system, a condition which nucleoside analogues are well known to be capable of reversing by suppressing HIV and thereby permitting immune function to be reestablished.

Applicant's arguments filed July 31,2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has acknowledged the above rejections, has not argued against same, but has thus far failed to supply any Terminal Disclaimers. Therefore, the above grounds of rejection have been maintained.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 via facsimile transmission (FAX). The transmission of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette (1096 OG 30, November 15, 1989). The telephone number to FAX (unofficially) directly to Examiner's computer is 571-273-0651. The telephone number for sending an Official FAX to the PTO is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner L. E. Crane whose telephone number is **571-272-0651**. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:30 AM and 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. S. Anna Jiang, can be reached at 571-272-0627.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is **571-272-1600**.

LECrane:lec **04/01/2007**

S. Anna Jiang, Ph.D.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600